Criteria for Assessing PLANNING Alternatives		
Criteria	Required Minimum "The alternative"	Possible Planning Indicators ¹ "The degree to which the alternative"
LAND USE		
Local population / employment growth in the study area	Must be capable of accommodating travel demand from forecasted development.	 Supports future road and transit capacity requirements for forecasted development.
City, TWRC and Provincial Policies	Must meet City's Official Plan Policies and Principles.	 Supports the City's Secondary Plan and EA Master Plan objectives. Supports the TWRC's Precinct Plan and Sustainability Framework. Supports Provincial growth management plans, policies, and objectives.
URBAN DESIGN		
Streetscaping		(Considered during evaluation of Design Alternatives).
Width of transportation facilities		(Considered during evaluation of Design Alternatives).
Public spaces and the pedestrian realm		(Considered during evaluation of Design Alternatives).
TRANSPORTATION		
Auto dependence	Must provide transit service quality at least as good as comparable communities (such as the St. Lawrence neighbourhood).	 Maximizes non-auto (transit, pedestrian and cycling) modal split for trips to, and within, the study area. Maximizes non-auto (transit, pedestrian and cycling) modal splits for trips through the study area.
Transit	Must provide transit service to majority of future inhabitants within 500m of transit. Must be able to connect to other planned Waterfront Precincts at boundaries of study area.	 Provides attractive transit service (reliability, speed, few transfers). Maximizes population and employment within 300m of transit. Provides flexibility and adaptability for staging and expansion by preserving opportunities for existing and future connections. Provides for transit travellers wishing to travel through the study area but who are not destined for locations in the study area.
Vehicles		 Provides for local auto access Provides for auto travellers wishing to travel through the study area but who are not destined for locations in the study area. Connects to other planned Waterfront Precincts at boundaries of study area
Barrier Free Design	Must accommodate people with mobility difficulties	(Considered during evaluation of Design Alternatives).

¹ Indicators are to be refined based on public comment during the ToR and the IEA

Criteria for Assessing PLANNING Alternatives			
Criteria	Required Minimum	"The alternative"	Possible Planning Indicators ¹
			"The degree to which the alternative"
Cyclists			(Considered during evaluation of Design Alternatives)
Pedestrians			(Considered during evaluation of Design Alternatives).
Emergency vehicle operations			(Considered during evaluation of Design Alternatives).
SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRO	ONMENT		
Automobile use in and through area			Minimizes through auto travel on local roads.
Tourism and waterfront access			Provides transit stop access to attractions.
Existing and future businesses			 Affects existing properties Encourages commercial activity Minimizes adverse effects to Redpath freight rail spur. Minimizes interference with rail service on the CN operations at the Cherry Street crossing. Maximizes services within 300 m of concentrated commercial activity within precinct plans. Minimizes EMI adverse effects (after construction)
Existing and future residences			 Affects existing properties Minimizes noise adverse effects (after construction) Minimizes vibration adverse effects (after construction)
NATURAL ENVIRONMENT			
Air Quality	<i>6</i> 44		Minimizes adverse effects to Air Quality
Aquatic habitats			(Considered during evaluation of Design Alternatives).
Vegetation			(Considered during evaluation of Design Alternatives).
Water quality			(Considered during evaluation of Design Alternatives).

Criteria for Assessing PLANNING Alternatives		
Criteria	Required Minimum "The alternative"	Possible Planning Indicators ¹ "The degree to which the alternative"
CULTURAL ENVIRONME	NT	
Built Heritage Features		Minimizes built heritage features affected.
Cultural Landscapes		Minimizes cultural landscapes affected.
Archaeological Features		Minimizes archaeological features affected.
First Nations peoples and activities		 Minimizes adverse effects to lands and resources used for traditional purposes.
COST		<u>I</u>
Capital Costs		Minimizes construction and transit vehicle acquisition costs.
Property acquisition		Minimizes property acquisitions.
Operating Costs		Minimizes the net operating cost.

	Criteria for Assess	sing DESIGN A	Alternatives
Criteria		The	Possible Design Indicators 2 "The degree to which the alternative"
LAND USE			
Local population / employment growth in the study area		•	Supports future road and transit capacity requirements for forecasted development.
City, TWRC and Provincial Policies	ith Is		Supports City's Secondary Plan, EA Master Plans and standards for transportation planning and design. Supports TWRC's Sustainability Framework including car free zones, and Design Excellence objectives. Supports Central Waterfront Design Competition Results.
URBAN DESIGN	3 7		
Streetscaping	2 2	-	Supports sustainable landscaping / urban forestry
Width of Transportation facilities	<u> </u>	•	Minimizes right-of-way width.
Public spaces and the pedestrian realm	dan Star		Maximizes potential to enhanced public spaces and cultural opportunities including public art opportunities. Supports potential for sidewalk enhancement / improvements
TRANSPORTATION	<u> </u>		
Auto dependence	Vcco Sign		Maximizes non-auto (transit, pedestrian and cycling) modal split for trips to, and within, the study area. Maximizes non-auto (transit, pedestrian and cycling) modal splits for trips through the study area.
Transit	In		Provides attractive transit service (reliability, speed, few transfers) Maximizes population and employment within 300m of transit. Provides flexibility and adaptability for staging and expansion by preserving opportunities for existing and future connections. Provides feasible transit operations at connecting points (i.e. King Street, Union Loop etc.). Provides for transit travellers wishing to travel through the study area but who are not destined for locations in the study area.

 $^{^{2}}$ Indicators are to be refined based on public comment during the ToR and the IEA $\,$

Criteria for Assessing DESIGN Alternatives			
Criteria	Required Minimum "The	Possible Design Indicators 2	
	alternative"	"The degree to which the alternative"	
		Maximizes safety	
Vehicles		 Connects to other planned Waterfront Precincts at boundaries of study area. Provides access to blocks at identified intersections in precinct plans. Maximizes safety Provides for auto travellers wishing to travel through the study area but who are not destined for locations in the study area. 	
Barrier Free Design	S	■ Provides barrier free access (Part of Design Standards).	
Cyclists	wi	 Provides connections to future cycling networks Provides for on-street and off-street cycling facilities as identified in the Secondary Plans and Precinct Plans. Maximizes safety 	
Pedestrians	dance Stand	 Minimizes intersection waiting and crossing times. Maximizes cross-street access by minimizing crossing distance. Minimizes distance from transit stops to centres of interest. Accommodates safe and pleasant pedestrian sidewalks of a sufficient width as identified n the Precinct Plans Provides Waterfront and Don Valley trail connections. Maximizes safety 	
Emergency vehicle operations		 Minimizes emergency response time. 	
SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRO	NMENT S 50		
Automobile use in and through area	S. 25.	Minimizes through auto travel on local roads.	
Tourism and waterfront access	4 0	 Provides transit stop access to attractions. 	
Effect on existing and future businesses		 Affects existing properties Affects parking for existing businesses. Provides delivery and loading access. Minimizes adverse effects to Redpath freight rail spur. Minimizes interference with rail service on the CN operations at the Cherry Street crossing. Minimizes EMI adverse effects (after construction) 	
Effects on existing and future		 Minimizes adverse effects on existing residences (number of residences 	

Criteria for Assessing DESIGN Alternatives		
Criteria	Required Minimum "The	Possible Design Indicators 2
	alternative"	"The degree to which the alternative"
residences		directly affected).
		 Minimizes noise adverse effects (after construction)
		Minimizes vibration adverse effects (after construction)
NATURAL ENVIRONMENT		<u>I</u>
Air Quality		Minimizes adverse effects to Air Quality.
Aquatic habitats		Minimizes adverse effects to aquatic habitats.
_		
Vegetation	it] Is	 Minimizes adverse effects to vegetation.
Water quality	> .9	Maximizes potential for stormwater quality control.
, and quanty	ara	 Minimizes adverse effects to existing stormwater facilities.
CULTURAL ENVIRONMENT	ر اط (و	
Built Heritage Features	an	Minimizes Built heritage features affected.
Cultural Landscapes	da St	Minimizes Cultural landscapes affected.
Archaeological Features	5 6	Minimizes Archaeological features affected.
First Nations peoples and activities	Signature Signature	 Minimizes adverse effects to land and resources used for traditional purposes.
COST	₹ 0	
Capital Costs		Minimizes construction and transit vehicle acquisition costs.
Property acquisition		Minimizes property acquisitions.
Operating Costs		Minimize the net operating cost.