

## 3C PL1 - 324 Cherry Street

Schematic Design

## Site Context

3C PL1 - 324 Cherry Street
Proponent: 3C Lakeshore Inc.
MA Architects, Zero Footprint Design Team: Adamson Associates, PMA Architects, Zero Footprint Review Stage: Schematic Desig


## Project Background \& Description

## Project History

- 2011-2012: 3C Waterfront master plan prepared by Foster + Partners, aA and KPMB
- 2012-2016: LPAT mediated settlement process with City of Toronto and WT for OPA and ZBA
- Dec 2016: Plan of Subdivision application submitted
- May 2019: Pre-application consultation with City and WT re: SPA application for PL1 parcel
- July 2019: 1st DRP presentation for issues identification
- Oct 2019: Submission of the PL1 SPA application, WT and City are in process of review and comment


## Anticipated Development Timeline

- Late 2020: Targeted construction start
- Ongoing: Construction of new Cherry Street through the larger 3C site


## Proposal Summary

- 1st site plan application within a large multi-phased development site
- 11 storey ( 46.0 m ) office building + retail at grade
- $20,058 \mathrm{~m} 2$ total GFA

- 3 levels of below grade parking


## Policy Context <br> Keating Channel Precinct Plan



## Planning Context Keating Channel Precinct Plan

Proponent: 3C Lakeshore Inc.

- Encourage and support pedestrians, cyclist and transit users over private automobile use
- Publicly accessible water's edge promenade; Foster connectivity to adjacent waterfront neighbourhoods
- Create a series of special public spaces at major north-south connections; establish as an urban boulevard
- Create a wide range of open spaces will be the backbone of the precinct
- Strengthen visual connections to the water from the city
- Create a predominantly mid-rise built form stepping down to the water's edge
- Support a wide variety of residential and employment uses and flexibility across the precinct
- Support economic and social diversity
- Create a district that serves as a model for environmental sustainability, Support the integration of infrastructure systems


Proponent: 3C Lakeshore Inc. Design Team: Adamson Associates, PMA Architects, Zero Footprint
Review Stage: Schematic Design

- Anticipated implementation post 2025
- Martin Goodman trail on LSBE moves to Queens Quay East extension
- New Lake Shore Trail along the north side of LSBE
- Planting on south side of LSBE



## Project Approval Stage

Proponent: 3C Lakeshore Inc. DRP Stream 1: Private land - Site Plan Approval

## PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION



```
RESPONSE TO APPLICANT
```

APPLICATION RESUBMISSION

STAGE 3 REVIEW:
DETAILED DESIGN*

RECIRCULATION, CONSULTATION, FURTHER REVISIONS

ISSUE OF NOAC

## General + Sustainability

- Consider this as a unique opportunity to create something that is bold, exciting, more than just an office building.
- The Panel felt the proposed height of the building is not a concern.
- Provide more information on innovation and sustainability strategy.
- Consider alternative strategies of energy use such as geothermal.


## Landscape

- Consider the importance of good temporary uses of the public realm such as displays, cultural activities, pop-ups, to get people to visit the area- leverage the opportunity to reclaim this site with year-round activities.
- Take advantage of the element of surprise and discovery when designing the public realm.

Public Realm

- Provide up-to-date context showing all buildings and appropriate location of Gardiner.
- Provide a phased site plan analysis that shows the "big picture" relationship as it relates to adjacent blocks and their ultimate vision.
- Consider alternative approaches to take advantage of the bend of Queens Quay and capture the vista and animation east along the street.
- Consider aligning the proposed ground floor passageway more directly to the plaza.


## Building

- Consider a high-level strategy for sharing parking and loading, perhaps underground, or phased, to maximize valuable ground floor real estate for programming and reduce servicing frontages facing public realm.
- Concerns with the proposed use of precast and glass, consider material that is unique and exceptional.


## Planning Context

Negotiated settlement with adjacent land owners approved the following:

- Identification of the streets, lanes and walkway networks
- Identification of building heights including tall building locations and height
- Provision of built form fronting Queens Quay to emphasize its role as the Keating Channel
- Identification of public park land and publicly accessible open spaces
- Appropriate mix of commercial and residential uses
- Affordable housing provisions
- Public realm improvements



## Planning Context

Keating Channel Precinct West Zoning By-law 1174-2010

Proponent: 3C Lakeshore Inc. Design Team: Adamson Associates, PMA Architects, Zero Footprint Review Stage: Schematic Design



Proponent: 3C Lakeshore Inc.


- Alignment with the Draft Plan of Subdivison is an ongoing objective
- Flood protection considerations and their impacts on grading and public realm conditions require a closer review
- Interface with Public Streets - As the design for Lakeshore Blvd. Queens Quay Blvd.E \& Trinity Street have not been completed, issues related to public realm and average grades, datum lines become problematic. Future proofing the ground plain to adjust to changing conditions is paramount.
- Impacts to the Animation Zones and Build to Lines
- Impacts of the additional massing on pedestrian comfort need to be better understood (e.g. stepbacks may need to be considered)
- Manage the commercial-residential interface of future phases


## Areas for Panel Consideration Waterfront Toronto

## Building

- Does the design adequately create a viable stand-alone development on day one?
- Does the design adequately set the tone for the future urban fabric of the Keating Channel Precinct and fit into the future master plan?
- Site context
- Materiality and façade design
- Building and public realm relationship
- Comprehensive block vision, ie. shared parking/ loading services


## Landscape

- Does the current design thinking support Waterfront Toronto's objectives for existing and future public realm? ie. on Lake Shore and Queens Quay East.
- Permanent and temporary landscape design

Sustainability

- Does the sustainability proposal meet or exceed WT's objectives?
- Are there other sustainability features or strategies the team should consider?
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## Summary of Feedback \& Changes

## FEEDBACK

## CONTEXT

- Context buildings and Gardiner Expressway
- Provide phased site plan analysis
- React to exposure from Queen Quay bend
- Realign ground floor passageway towards plaza
- Pedestrian underpass connection from Distillery District


## BUILDING

- Parking and loading shared with future phases
- Consider alternate exterior finishes


## LANDSCAPE

- Temporary use of public realm during phase 1


## SUSTAINABILITY

[^0]
## RESPONSE

## CONTEXT

- Updated to reflect future conditions
- Design responds to current and future site conditions
- Spiraling mass visually connects street to green terraces
- Realigned to connect Queens Quay/Trinity St. corner to plaza
- Program and orientation protects for future connection

BUILDING

- Provided optimal design for loading and parking
- Use of bright aluminum to contrast with industrial surroundings


## LANDSCAPE

- Proposal includes public realm concepts for day 1 and full build out


## SUSTAINABILITY

- Included in presentation
- Strategies suitable for an office development are being explored



## Precedents of Pioneering Developments

 east waterfront district.

01 Dakota Apartment House / Central Park, New York


## Project Parti




MACHINED MATERIALS

## Building Concept Sketches



## Project Parti

## $=$



## Precedents



Precedents


## Building Concept Sketches



## Building Concept Sketches



## Building Concept Sketches



## Building Concept Sketches



## Building Concept Sketches



## Building Concept Sketches



## Building Concept Sketches




## Building Concept Sketches



## Building Concept Sketches



## Building Concept Sketches



## Building Concept Sketches



## Building Concept Sketches



## Complete Master Plan



## Master Plan • Phase 1



## 3D Site Context • Complete Master Plan

$\qquad$
Approved Massing as per the site specific ZBL

## 3D Site Context • Phase 1



## Roof Plan Axo



## Mechanical Floor Plan Axo



## Level 11 Floor Plan Axo



## Level 10 Floor Plan Axo



## Level 9 Floor Plan Axo



## Level 8 Floor Plan Axo



## Level 7 Floor Plan Axo



## Level 6 Floor Plan Axo



## Level 5 Floor Plan Axo



## Level 4 Floor Plan Axo



## Level 3 Floor Plan Axo



## Level 2 Floor Plan Axo



## Ground Floor Plan Axo



## Parking Floor Plan Axo



## Parking Floor Plan Axo



## Parking Floor Plan Axo



## Loading Strategy Diagram: Loading at Grade



Outdoor Terrace Amenities


Design accommodates and promotes outdoor social and physical activities

## Outdoor Terrace Amenities



## Outdoor Terrace Amenities



Outdoor Terrace Amenities - Roof Top


## Building Elevation - South



## Building Elevation - West



## Building Elevation - North



## Building Elevation - East



Facade Treatment Analysis


SB－10 CODE REFERENCE


18．3\％
Reduction in Carbon Footprint


Exterior View－Full Glass Glazing



Facade Treatment Analysis


## Current PL1 Office Building Proposal — Preliminary Design



## Current PL1 Office Building Proposal — Preliminary Design



## Current PL1 Office Building Proposal — Preliminary Design



## Current PL1 Office Building Proposal — Preliminary Design



## Current PL1 Office Building Proposal — Preliminary Design



## Current PL1 Office Building Proposal — Preliminary Design



## Current PL1 Office Building Proposal — Preliminary Design


$\downarrow$


## Current PL1 Office Building Proposal — Preliminary Design



## Landscape | Public Space Principles

Quality Ecologies


Spatially Comfortable


Resilient


Dynamic


## Landscape | Concept



LAKE SHORE BLVD EAST
$\square$



## Landscape | Materiality





## Landscape | Program (Illustrative)

## FLEXIBLE GARDEN /



Landscape | the Everyday \& the Event


Landscape | Fall Illustrative Perspective


Landscape | Summer Illustrative Perspective


03 BUSTAINABLITY

## Existing Brownfield Site Conditions



View looking Southeast


View looking Northeast

## Toronto Green Standard Matrix



## Toronto Green Standard Matrix



## Pedestrian Walking Radii



## Proximity to Public Transportation



## Water Management Strategy

## Over 35\% Indoor Potable Water Reduction

## Energy Efficiency



Overall Performance

| Design <br> Cases | TEUI <br> $\left(\mathbf{k W h} / \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | TEDI <br> $\mathbf{( \mathbf { k W h } / \mathbf { m } ^ { \mathbf { 2 } } )}$ | GHGI <br> $\left(\mathbf{k g C O 2} / \mathbf{m}^{2}\right)$ | Energy <br> Difference (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB-10 Code <br> Reference | 167.5 | 67 | 14.3 | - |
| Current <br> Design | 138.5 | 38 | 9.9 | $17.3 \%$ |

## Thermal Energy Demand Sources



Envelope Improvements


Envelope Improvements


## Building Systems - Notional Diagram



## WIRED Score



WELL Building Standard

## THE WEELT BUILDING STANDARD

## WELL Building Standard



## WELL Building Standard



Thank You!


$$
0 \text { pratix }
$$
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## Material Checklist

| 3C $P$ <br> Revie <br> STAGE <br> 20-Nov-1 | 1 - WT DRP <br> Process for Private Land (Stream 1) <br> 2 - Schematic Design Material Checklist |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Submission Requirement | Description | Building | Landscape | Status of the silde |  | Comment |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | Main Presentation | Appendix |  |
|  |  | Ker DRP comments |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | Summary of changes | key changes from previous DRP | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |
| 2 | Context |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 | city context plan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2D, scale: } 1: 5,000-1: 10,000 \\ & 20 \text {, scale: } 1: 5,00-1: 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | x |  |
| 2.2 | Site context plan | Incude exising, aproved, and proposed developments | $\times$ | ${ }^{x}$ |  | ${ }^{x}$ |  |
| 2.3 | site context sections | Sections with adicent developments | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | x |  |
| 2.4 2.5 2.5 | Ownership map | Site and nearby parcel owners | ${ }^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x}$ |  |  | ${ }^{\times}$ |  |
| 2.6 | Zoning and land use | By-Laws, maps, envelopes, 30 Zoning | x |  |  | x |  |
| 2.7 | Stit Photos-overall | Aerias, Grounds |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| 2.8 2.9 | Stit p potos-views | Viewsheds, , view corridors $w /$ key map Adjacent context, buididingstitit with dimensions |  | ${ }^{x}$ |  |  |  |
| 2.10 | Site photos.isisoric | Relevant historici mages |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2.12}^{2.11}$ | Official Pran \& CWSP | Applicale policies ${ }^{\text {Polices and built orm control }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Design strategy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 3.2 | ${ }^{\text {Premect partidigram }}$ |  | $x$ $\times$ $\times$ |  | ${ }^{x}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{3.3}^{3.2}$ | Massing diagram |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  |  |
| ${ }^{3.4}$ | Height diagram | Site and adjicent properties |  |  |  | x |  |
| 3.5 3.6 |  | Organization of major ruses GAA, stats, densty a ceas |  |  | ${ }_{x}$ |  | ese three categories were combined into the plan axos and loading |
| 3.7 | Circuation diagram | Ster |  |  | x |  | grams |
| ${ }^{3.8}$ | Precedent images | Relevant precedentit imges |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| 3.9 | Typical issues summary | dentity key design challenges |  | $\times$ |  | x | Diagrams showing site constraints and opportunities in day 1 and final condition |
| 3.10 | Public ar strategy Aforditie husing | Summary of on-site/ offisiste strategy | x | x |  |  | N/A |
| 3.11 | Innovation strategy | key inovation element | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | Omitted |
| ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | Desigig Documentation Site lans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{4.2}^{4.1}$ |  |  | x | $x$ | x |  |  |
| 4.3 4.4 | Ground/public realm diagrams Tenancy plans | Actess, senving bikes peds program | x | $x$ | x |  | N/A |
| 4.5 | Landscape plans | Pathways, plantings, lightong | x | x | x |  |  |
| 4.6 | Materia plans | Plantsp pavers, etc | ${ }^{\times}$ | ${ }^{\times}$ | ${ }^{x}$ |  |  |
| 4.8 |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | x | $\times$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{4.10}^{4.9}$ | Building sections Site sections | Ker builing geetions | ¢ | $\times$ |  | ${ }^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x}$ |  |
| 4.11 | Builing elevations | N, E,W with ajiacent context | $\times$ |  | x |  |  |
| 4.12 | Ground floor elevations | Public realm elevations with land | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |
| . 13 | Key wall cross sections | Key wall sections | $\times$ |  | x |  | Key wall sections replaced with facade treatment analysis digram |
| 4.14 4.15 | ${ }^{30}$ erenderings propect | Massing, adiacencies, textures Critical views at grade | x | x | ${ }_{\text {x }} \times$ |  |  |
| 4.16 | Shadow Studies | Sun and shade analysis | x | x |  | x |  |
| 4.17 4.18 | Stit Plan Appiliction | Relevant drawings and tables | $x$ | x |  | x |  |
| 4.19 | Innovation Design tlements Grading Plans |  |  | + | x |  | Omitted |
| 4.20 | Planting Plans | Plant list and specs |  | x | x |  |  |
| 5 | Ecology, Energy and Sustainability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 5.2 | Sustainability vision (?) | Summary of project sustainability vision and principles ldentify ll key strategies on one drawing | ${ }^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x}$ | ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | x |  |  |
| 5.3 | Energy modeling + other voluntar sustainability metrics |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | x |  |  |
| 5.4 | Sustainability buididing desigin details | (is) | $\times$ |  | x |  |  |
| 5.5 | LEED scorecard (fif applicable) | Highight points targeted for certification | $\times$ | x |  |  |  |
| 5.6 <br> 5.6 .1 | $\underbrace{\text { Water manaement strategy }}_{\text {Ecological strategy }}$ | Kee elements supporting the overalle eologicicl health of the ste, indududig: | $\times$ | $\times$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | x | $\times$ |  |  |
|  | Landscaping strategy | Seasonal plant lists, soil volume diagrams, sil cell technology etc. |  |  |  |  |  |

City Context Plan


Site Context Plan


## Site Sections



NORTH SOUTH SITE SECTION
PARALLEL TO TRINITY STREET

## Site Sections



## Planning Context

## City of Toronto Official Plan (2006)

- The majority of the site is designated 'Regeneration Area' under the City of Toronto Official Plan, with the proposed water's edge promenade designated 'Park'.
- In 'Regeneration Areas', a mix of land uses including commercial, residential, live/work, institutional and light industrial uses are permitted.
- Official Plan policies indicate that 'Regeneration Areas' will need "tailor-made" strategies and frameworks for development, provided through a Secondary Plan, and require extensive infrastructure improvements.



## Planning Context

Settlement of Central Waterfront Secondary \& Zoning Bylaw No. 1174-2010:

- The waterfront policies and maps of the 2006 City of Toronto Official Plan and the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan (OPA 257), as well as the Keating Channel Zoning By-law No. 1174-2010 were appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) in 2006.
- In 2017, the OMB approved modifications to OPA 257 and Keating Channel Bylaw 1174-2010 for the 3C Master Plan lands.


## Planning Context

## Central Waterfront Secondary Plan:

Structural components of the Secondary Plan include:

- Identification of the street/lane and walkway networks;
- Provision of built form fronting Queens Quay to emphasize its role as the Keating Channel Precinct's primary street and reinforce the pedestrian comfort, safety and usability of the street;
- Identification of building heights including tall building locations and height; and,
- Identification of public park land and publicly accessible open spaces.



## Planning Context

## Keating Channel Precinct Plan:

- Endorsed by City Council in 2010, the Keating Channel Precinct Plan "provides a vision and rationale for the Keating Channel Precinct, including objectives for the Precinct's built form and public realm, and how it will relate to adjacent neighbourhoods and districts".
- The Precinct Plan:
- guided the development of the site specific Zoning By-law 1174-2010 (which was subsequently appealed to the OMB), and,
- serves to implement the Central Waterfront
 Secondary Plan as it pertains to the Keating Channel Precinct.


## Planning Context

Keating Channel Precinct West Zoning By-law 1174-2010:

- By-law No. 1174-2010 was appealed to the OMB in 2006, and was approved, with modifications, in 2017 as part of the OMB settlement.
- The majority of the site is zoned 'Commercial Residential (CR(h))'.
- Detailed regulations include:
- maximum building height
- setbacks and stepbacks;
- buyilding separation distances;
- maximum density;
- build-to lines;and
- permitted plaza areas.
. Zoning By-law 569-2013 does not apply to the site.



## Planning Context



[^1]
## Planning Context • As of Right Zoning Envelope



## Planning Context • Proposed Envelope



## Zoning Overlay



## Zoning Overlay

NOTE:
TRANSPARENT WHITE MASSING REPRESENTS FULL MASTER PLAN BUILDOUT TRANSPARENT BLUE REPRESENTS ZONING ENVELOPE


Site Photos • Overall


View looking West


View looking Southwest

Site Photos • Overall


View looking Southeast
View looking Northeast

Site Photos • Adjacencies

GARDINER


## Site Photos • Adjacencies

## SILOS



## Height Analysis of the Surrounding Context



## 3D Site Context • Phase 1



## 3D Site Context • Complete Master Plan

## Legend:

$$
80
$$

Approved Massing as per the site specific ZBL

## Street Access Final Phase



## Street Access Day 1



## Proximity to Public Transportation Final Phase



## Proximity to Public Transportation Day 1



## Proximity to Green Spaces \& Trails Final Phase



## Proximity to Green Spaces \& Trails Day 1



## Pedestrian Walking Radii Final Phase



## Pedestrian Walking Radii Day 1



## Site Plan Application


$\dashv$

## Shadow Studies



## Roof Plan



Floor Plans - L12 M2


Floor Plans - L12 M1


Floor Plans - L12


Floor Plans - L11


Floor Plans - L10


Floor Plans - L9


Floor Plans - L8


Floor Plans - L7


Floor Plans - L6


Floor Plans - L5


Floor Plans - L4


Floor Plans - L3


Floor Plans - L2


Floor Plans - L1


## Parking Plans - B1



## Parking Plans - B2



## Parking Plans - B3



## Building Sections - East West



## Building Sections - East West



## Building Sections - East West



## Building Section - North South



## Ground Floor Elevations




[^0]:    - Provide innovation and sustainability strategy
    - Alternative strategies of energy use

[^1]:    

